

BOARD OF PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITY OF THE SUPREME COURT OF TENNESSEE

1101 KERMIT DRIVE, SUITE 730 NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE 37217 TELEPHONE: (615) 361-7500 (800) 486-5714 FAX: (615) 367-2480 E-MAIL: ethics@tbpr.org

Website: www.tbpr.org

RELEASE OF INFORMATION
RE: ALBERT L. WATSON, III, BPR #001516
CONTACT: PRESTON SHIPP
BOARD OF PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITY

July 15, 2010

HAMILTON COUNTY LAWYER CENSURED

On July 14, 2010, Albert L. Watson, III, an attorney licensed to practice law in Tennessee, received a Public Censure from the Board of Professional Responsibility of the Tennessee Supreme Court.

Mr. Watson was hired to appeal the appointment of a conservator. Mr. Watson filed a notice of appeal, but failed to file an appellate brief. As a result, the appeal was dismissed. Additionally, Mr. Watson failed to communicate with his client regarding the status and outcome of the case. As a result, Mr. Watson violated Rule of Professional Conduct 1.2 (scope of representation), 1.3 (diligence), and 1.4 (communication).

A Public Censure is a rebuke and warning to the attorney, but it does not affect the attorney's ability to practice law.

Watson 32392-3 rel.doc

PLEASE NOTE

YOU MAY SUBSCRIBE TO RECEIVE INFORMATIONAL RELEASES, FORMAL ETHICS OPINIONS, NEWSLETTERS AND ANNUAL REPORTS ELECTRONICALLY BY SIGNING IN AT THE BOARD'S WEBSITE

www.tbpr.org/Subscriptions

IN DISCIPLINARY DISTRICT III
OF THE
BOARD OF PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITY
OF THE
SUPREME COURT OF TENNESSEE

BOARD OF PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITY
OF THE
SUPREME COURT OF TENNESSEE

EXECUTIVE SECRETARY

IN RE: ALBERT L. WATSON, III, BPR NO. 1516

Respondent, an attorney licensed to practice law in Tennessee (Hamilton County)

FILE NO. 32392-3-PS

PUBLIC CÉNSURE

The above complaint was filed against Albert L. Watson, III, an attorney licensed to practice law in Tennessee, alleging certain acts of misconduct. Pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 9, the Board of Professional Responsibility considered these matters at its meeting on June 11, 2010.

The Complainant hired the Respondent to represent her mentally ill son after he was admitted to a mental health institute on an emergency petition. The hospital petitioned the court to appoint a guardian ad litem for the Complainant's son, and an order was entered appointing a conservator. The Complainant wanted the Respondent to represent her son in an appeal of this decision. The Respondent filed a motion to reconsider and a notice of appeal. Thereafter, the Complainant made several attempts to contact the Respondent and inquire about the status of the matter, but the Respondent did not respond. Finally, the Complainant received a notice from the chancery court clerk's office advising her that the Court of Appeals had dismissed the appeal. The Respondent had failed to file an appellate brief. Subsequently, the chancery court entered an order denying the motion to reconsider, in which the court questioned the adequacy of the Respondent's motion under Rule of Civil Procedure 7.02. The Respondent failed to give the

Complainant notice of either court's ruling.

The Respondent violated Rule 1.4 by failing to maintain communication with the Complainant. The Respondent ignored the Complainant's requests for information and did not inform the Complainant that the Court of Appeals had dismissed the appeal or that the chancery court had denied the motion to reconsider. Also, the Respondent failed to act with reasonable diligence in violation of Rule 1.3. The Respondent did not file an appellate brief in the Court of Appeals, so the appeal was dismissed. Finally, the Respondent failed to abide by the Complainant's decision concerning the objective of the representation in violation of Rule 1.2.

By the aforementioned facts, Albert L. Watson, III, has violated Rule of Professional Conduct 1.2 (scope of representation), 1.3 (diligence), 1.4 (communication) and is hereby Publicly Censured for these violations.

FOR THE BOARD OF

PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITY

Roger Maness, Chair

7-12-10

Date