



**BOARD OF PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITY
OF THE
SUPREME COURT OF TENNESSEE**

10 CADILLAC DRIVE, SUITE 220
BRENTWOOD, TENNESSEE 37027
TELEPHONE: (615) 361-7500
(800) 486-5714
FA24: (615) 367-2480
E-MAIL: ethics@tbpr.org
Website: www.tbpr.org

RELEASE OF INFORMATION
RE: CHARLES EDWARD WALKER BPR #021277
CONTACT: A. RUSSELL WILLIS
BOARD OF PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITY
615-361-7500

April 1, 2021

DAVIDSON COUNTY LAWYER'S SUSPENSION ORDER VACATED

On April 1, 2021, the Supreme Court of Tennessee entered an order vacating its March 25, 2021 Order of Enforcement suspending Charles Edward Walker from the practice of law. Mr. Walker filed a motion asking the Court to withdraw the Order based upon his having filed an appeal with the Court of Appeals, albeit the wrong court. The Supreme Court granted the motion, vacated its Order and transferred the appeal to the Supreme Court as provided by Tenn. R. App. P. 17. Mr. Walker is immediately eligible to resume the active practice of law in the State of Tennessee.

Walker 2907-5 rel.doc

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF TENNESSEE
AT NASHVILLE



IN RE: CHARLES EDWARD WALKER, BPR #021277

No. M2021-00289-SC-BAR-BP
BOPR No. 2018-2907-5-AW

ORDER

On March 12, 2021, the Board of Professional Responsibility (“BPR”) submitted a proposed order of enforcement against Mr. Walker, stating that Mr. Walker had not timely appealed from a December 28, 2020 order of the chancery court, which affirmed the hearing panel’s decision suspending Mr. Walker’s law license for three years, with the final year to be served on probation. Mr. Walker did not respond to the BPR’s submission. On March 25, 2021, this Court entered the order of enforcement suspending Mr. Walker for three years, with the final year to be served on probation.

Four days later, Mr. Walker filed a motion asking this Court to withdraw the March 25, 2021 order of enforcement. Mr. Walker alleged that he had timely appealed the matter to the Court of Appeals on January 27, 2021, and that the case remained pending in the Court of Appeals under case number M2021-00099-COA-R3-CV.

The BPR filed a response in opposition to Mr. Walker’s motion, pointing out that, pursuant to Tenn. Sup. Ct. R. 9, § 33.1 (d), Mr. Walker’s appeal of the decree of the chancery court should have been filed in this Court, not in the Court of Appeals. The BPR asserts that, because Mr. Walker failed to appeal to this Court within thirty days of the chancery court decision, as Tenn. Sup. Ct. R. 9, § 33.1(d) and Tenn. R. App. P. 4 require, his appeal is untimely, and this Court lacks jurisdiction to consider it. Binkley v. Medling, 117 S.W.3d 252, 255 (Tenn. 2003).

The BPR is correct that Mr. Walker appealed to the wrong court. His error is not fatal to our jurisdiction to consider this appeal, however, because Tennessee Rule of Appellate Procedure 17 provides that “[i]f a case is appealed to the Supreme Court, Court of Appeals, or Court of Criminal Appeals that should have been appealed to another court, the case shall be transferred to the proper court.” Tenn. R. App. P. 17. Mr. Walker filed his appeal within thirty days of the chancery court’s decision, albeit in the wrong court. But based on Tenn. R. App. P. 17, his appeal “shall be transferred” to this Court.

For these reasons, the March 25, 2021 order of enforcement is VACATED. The Clerk is directed to transfer to this Court Mr. Walker's appeal, now pending as case number M2021-00099-COA-R3-CV in the Court of Appeals.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

PER CURIAM

Justice Roger A. Page, not participating.