

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF TENNESSEE
AT NASHVILLE

FILED
2011 APR 12 PM 3:40

IN RE: **CONNIE LYNN REGULI, BPR #1686**
An Attorney Licensed to Practice Law in Tennessee
(Williamson County)

APPELLATE COURT CLERK
NASHVILLE

NO. M2011-00640-SC-BPR-BP
BOPR No. 2009-1804-6-KH

ORDER OF ENFORCEMENT

This matter is before the Court upon a Petition for Discipline filed by the Board of Professional Responsibility ("Board") against Connie Lynn Reguli ("Respondent") on February 11, 2009; upon Respondent's Response to the Petition filed on March 2, 2009; upon Respondent's amended Response filed on September 1, 2009; upon the Board's Supplemental Petition for Discipline filed on August 10, 2009; upon a final hearing held on February 8-9, 2010; upon Judgment of the Hearing Panel entered on February 12, 2010; upon consideration by the Board at its March 12, 2010 meeting; upon the Board's Petition for Certiorari filed on April 9, 2010; upon Order of special Judge Walter Kurtz entered on December 10, 2010; upon subsequent Order entered by special Judge Walter Kurtz on February 8, 2011, vacating the earlier Order and dismissing the appeal; and upon the entire record in this cause.

From all of which the Court approves the Judgment of the Hearing Panel and adopts the judgment of the Hearing Panel as the Court's Order.

It is therefore, **ORDERED, ADJUDGED** and **DECREED** by the Court that:

1. The Respondent, Connie Lynn Reguli, shall be publicly censured pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 9, Section 4.4.

2. The Board of Professional Responsibility shall cause notice of this discipline to be published as required by Supreme Court Rule 9, Section 18.10.

3. The Board of Professional Responsibility is entitled to recover appropriate costs and expenses. See Tenn. Sup. Ct. R. 9, § 24.3 However, Ms. Reguli has objected to the amount of costs and expenses (\$16,712.05) the Board seeks to recover and has requested a hearing. Accordingly, the request for costs and expenses and Ms. Reguli's objection thereto are transferred to the Board of Professional Responsibility for

disposition in accordance with the procedure provided in Supreme Court Rule 9, Section 24.3. Either party dissatisfied with the Board's resolution of the issue may appeal to this Court. See Tenn. Sup. Ct. R. 9, § 24.3 ("An order reflecting the [Board's] decision shall be treated as a decree of the circuit or chancery court and, as such, is appealable to the Tennessee Supreme Court under Rule 9, § 1.3, Rules of the Supreme Court.")

FOR THE COURT:

Cornelia A. Clark

CORNELIA A. CLARK
CHIEF JUSTICE