



BOARD OF PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITY
of the
SUPREME COURT OF TENNESSEE

1101 KERMIT DRIVE, SUITE 730
NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE 37217
TELEPHONE: (615) 361-7500
(800) 486-5714
FAX: (615) 367-2480
E-MAIL: ethics@tbpr.org
Website: www.tbpr.org

RELEASE OF INFORMATION
ALAN L. PYRON, BPR #-22547
CONTACT: LAURA L. CHASTAIN
BOARD OF PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITY
615-361-7500

December 9, 2005

MEMPHIS ATTORNEY DISBARRED

On December 7, 2005, the Supreme Court of Tennessee entered an Order disbaring Alan L. Pyron from the practice of law. Mr. Pyron took a client's case on a contingency basis and when, after three attempts by Pyron to obtain pain medication from the Complainant were unsuccessful, Pyron dropped her case. In a second matter, Pyron helped his client to obtain \$22,389.00 from Complainant Beverly Taylor in an investment scheme whereby Complainant loaned money, wired to Respondent and to Respondent's trust account, to Respondent's client, whom he identified as "James Smith". Ms. Taylor has not been repaid, and Pyron has failed to produce the loan agreement or his trust account records as requested by Disciplinary Counsel.

Disciplinary Counsel filed a Petition for Discipline pursuant to Rule 9, Rules of the Supreme Court of Tennessee. Mr. Pyron filed no answer to the Petition nor did he appear at the hearing in the matter. The Hearing Panel of the Board of Professional Responsibility recommended disbarment. Mr. Pyron did not appeal. Section 18 of Tennessee Supreme Court Rule 9 requires Mr. Pyron to notify by registered or certified mail all clients being represented in pending matter, all co-counsel and opposing counsel of the Supreme Court's Order disbaring him. Section 18 also requires Mr. Pyron to deliver to all clients any papers or property to which they are entitled.

This disciplinary matter was held pursuant to Supreme Court Rules 8 and 9. In Tennessee disbarred attorneys may, after the passage of five (5) years, apply for reinstatement of their law license. However, to succeed these lawyers must carry their burden of proof by clear and convincing evidence that their reinstatement will not be detrimental to the integrity and standing of the bar or the administration of justice or subversive to the public interest.

Pyron 1504-9 rel.doc

PLEASE NOTE

**YOU MAY SUBSCRIBE TO RECEIVE INFORMATIONAL RELEASES,
FORMAL ETHICS OPINIONS, NEWSLETTERS AND ANNUAL REPORTS ELECTRONICALLY BY SIGNING IN
THE BOARD'S WEBSITE www.tbpr.org/Subscriptions**