

## IN DISCIPLINARY DISTRICT V OF THE BOARD OF PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITY OF THE SUPREME COURT OF TENNESSEE

IN RE:

CHRISTOPHER ROBIN FOX,

DOCKET NO. 2002-1324-5-SG

Respondent, BPR #16095, An Attorney Licensed and Admitted to the Practice of Law in Tennessee (Davidson County)

## DEFAULT JUDGMENT OF DISBARMENT

This case is before the Hearing Panel on the Motion of the Board of Professional Responsibility (the Board) for default judgment of disbarment. Respondent was properly served with a Petition for Discipline on approximately July 23, 2002. The Respondent failed to respond to the Petition for Discipline as required and on April 7, 2003, this Panel entered an Order Granting Default Judgment on Petition for Discipline.

On October 8, 2002, the Board served the Respondent with a Supplemental Petition for Discipline. The Respondent failed to answer this Supplemental Petition for Discipline and on January 15, 2003, this Panel entered an Order Granting Default Judgment on Supplemental Petition for Discipline.

On February 19, 2003, the Board served the Respondent with a Second Supplemental Petition for Discipline. The Respondent failed to answer this Second Supplemental Petition for Discipline and on April 7, 1003, this Panel entered an Order Granting Default Judgment on Second Supplemental Petition for Discipline.

On March 21, 2003, the Board served the Respondent with a Third Supplemental Petition for Discipline. The Respondent failed to answer this Third Supplemental Petition for Discipline. On June 23, 2003, the Board filed a Motion for Default and that the charges in Third Supplemental Petition for Discipline be deemed admitted.

On June 23, 2003, the Board served the Respondent with a Fourth Supplemental
Petition for Discipline. The Respondent has failed to answer this Fourth Supplemental Petition
for Discipline. On July 15, 2003, the Board filed a Motion for Default and that the charges in the
Fourth Supplemental Petition for Discipline be deemed admitted.

Based upon the admitted allegations, this Hearing Panel finds that the Respondent, Christopher R. Fox, violated the following Disciplinary Rules (DR): DR 1-102(A)(1)(3)(4)(5)(6); DR 2-106(A); DR 2-110(A)(1)(2)(3); DR 2-110(B)(2); DR 6-101(A)(3); DR 7-101(A)(1)(2)(3)(4); DR 7-101(B)(1)(2); DR 7-102(A)(3)(7)(8); DR 7-106(A); DR 7-106(C)(6) and DR 9-102(A)(B).

This Hearing Panel further finds the following aggravating circumstances: The

Respondent's dishonest or selfish motive; the Respondent's pattern of misconduct; the Respondent's multiple offenses; the Respondent's bad faith obstruction of the disciplinary process and the Respondent's refusal to acknowledge the wrongful nature of his conduct. No mitigating factors are found.

Based upon the admitted allegations, it is the recommendation of the Hearing Panel that Respondent should be disbarred. It is further recommended that the Respondent should not be eligible for reinstatement unless he makes complete restitution to the estates, clients, complainants and Lawyers Fund for Client Protection. It is so ORDERED.

Nancy Sue Jones

Kevin Hunter Sharp

William B. Turner

FoxDefaultJudgmentDisbarment-SG